News has filtered through from North America that members have had long delays in receiving ONS material. It has proved impossible to persuade Pat Hogan to answer any correspondence on the matter (or on any other matter) since he moved to Florida in January but the delays seem to be due partly to the withdrawal of the privileged printing facilities made available by courtesy of Mr. Schubert. To help overcome this difficulty the last Newsletter was printed in the UK and bulk supplies sent by airmail to Pat Hogan but I do not know if they have yet been distributed. This Newsletter is therefore being sent to individual members from the UK to ensure that it arrives. Whatever problems Pat is having it seems clear that we need to involve more North American members in the organisation of the Society if the region is to survive. I am therefore seeking members willing to help with the distribution of ONS papers, the handling of Membership matters and the task of Treasurer. It may well be that we should divide the region into two or three sections, each with its own officers and I would welcome views on this or any other matter. The full potential of the ONS has never been realised in North America to the extent that it has in other regions in spite of the fact that over half the members live there. If you can help please write to me by return. Finally, we are short of money. If you have not paid your subscription recently please credit 5 dollars to the ONS account No. 0362467 at the First National Bank, Iowa City 52240 and let me know that you have done so. We will sort out the details later. Our funds in this country are strictly limited and unless alternative arrangements can be operating by the end of the year we may have to close down the North American region and incorporate its members into the General Section. If you want it to survive please write and tell me how you can help.

Michael Broome

Meeting on Sassanian subjects

Preliminary discussions have taken place in London on the possibility of organising a meeting in London during 1978 on various subjects of Sassanian interest, including related dynasties. Anyone with suggestions for subjects or prospective speakers please contact Michael Broome.

Erratum

In Dr. Gupta’s paper published in the previous newsletter read “Afzaluddaulah 2,045 coins”, not Asafuddaulah.
Some Recent Publications


Hadi Aldi, Umayyad Bronze coins from Amman, Annual of the Dept. of Antiquities, Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, XX, 1975, 9-14 (in the Arabic section). This paper is in Arabic with drawings and photographs of 17 ‘standing Caliph’ type Arab-Byzantine coins

Gilles Hennequin, Nouveau Aperçu sur l’histoire monétaire de l’Egypte à la fin du Moyen Âge, Annales Islamologiques XIII, 1977

N.M. Lowick, On the dating of Samanid osmsize dirhams, Spink’s Numismatic Circular, May 1977, 204-206


F. Pridmore, British India, Numismatic or Philatelic? Patna Postal tickets 1774, Spink’s NC, June 1977, 247-249


P.H. Vernon, The ¼ Anna coins of Muscat and Oman AH 1312-1316, Spink’s NC, May 1977, 203-204

K. Wiggins, Some unpublished coins of the East India Company, Spink’s NC, May 1977, 201

Books

1977 Lists of Books for sale have recently been published by:

Cobham Numismatics: 63 St. John Street London EC1 (an extensive Oriental Book List)

B.A. Seaby: 11 Margerat Street London

Spink and Son Ltd.: 5-7 King Street, St. James’, London

A Silver Tanka of Muzaffer Shah I of Gujarat (AH 810-813: AD 1407-1410) by Jan Lingen

After the death of Sultan Mohammad IV (AH 792-795) of Delhi an attempt was made by Tatar Khan to create a kingdom of his own. However, this attempt did not succeed and he fled to Gujarat to ask for support from his father Zafar Khan, then the Governor of Gujarat. Zafar Khan was too shrewd to become involved in that fruitless attempt. Tatar Khan, however, became restive and, after having imprisoned his father, ascended the throne with the title Nasir-ud-duniya wa-ud-din Muhammad Shah (AH 806).

Zafar Khan, being still viceroy of Gujarat, sought the help of his brother Shams Khan, who poisoned the hot-headed Tatar Khan while he was on his way to conquer Delhi. Zafar Khan now got back his liberty and declared his independance (AH 810). Remorseful as he might have been, he was loath to continue and declared his grandson Ahmad Khan (son of Tatar Khan) as his successor, who, however, could not wait for the natural death of his grandfather and forced him to drink a cup of poison (AH 813).

Very little numismatic evidence has been traced for the period during which Zafar Khan ruled over Gujarat as “Shams-ud-duniya wa-ud-din Muzaffer Shah”. In the Indian Museum Catalogue Vol. II (Sultans of Delhi and contemporary dynasties) H. Nelson Wright mentioned that no coins of this ruler were known. Only in the Catalogue of the Coins in the Prince of Wales Museum, Bombay – ‘The Sultans of Gujarat, 1935’ – are coins struck in the name of Muzaffer Shah I mentioned. The coins catalogued there are two copper pieces. One of these is dated AH 813. At the time this catalogue was compiled by C.R. Singhal no coins of this ruler in gold or silver were known; and no report has been made in later publications that I have been able to consult. I was therefore surprised to find a silver tanka of this ruler among some coins I recently acquired.

Inscriptions: Obv:

Sams-ud-duniya wa-ud-din
Muzaffer Shah Al-Sultan

Revs:

Nayeb Amir Al-mominin
Kholdat Khilafat 812

Translation: Deputy of the Commander of the Faithfull, may his Caliphate endure: 812

Weight: 11.1 grammes (171.3 grains)

The expression Ṣāḥib al-muṭlaqīn is also frequently found on contemporary copper coins of the Delhi Sultans. These correlations indicate that coins of the Delhi Sultans served as examples for the initial coinage of Gujarat struck by Muzaffer Shah I as the independant ruler of Gujarat.
A note on some coins struck at Muminabad-Bindaraban and at Islamabad-Mathura

by M. B. Mitchiner

In many respects the decades around AD 1800 form one of the more obscure periods in the recent history of India. This was a period when the Moghul Empire was in the full flood of decadence and effective regional government was devolving upon local states that grew to exercise increasing autonomy: a period also when the titular sovereignty retained by the Moghul Emperor gave way to the acknowledgement of British suzerainty. Widespread British suzerainty was only established after 1800 but during the half century preceding that date the authority of the Moghul Emperor had been severely undermined by two other major powers, the Marathas and the Afghans. The Marathas progressively pushed their frontiers northwards from original footholds at Satara and Poona while Nadir Shah Efshari of Persia and the Afghans who succeeded to his eastern provinces detached the north-western provinces from the Moghuls. In 1761 these two powers came face to face in battle at Panipat, near Delhi: Maratha versus Afghan without much notice being taken of the Moghul. It was in the face of such Moghul impotence that many local dignitaries elevated their appanages into effectively autonomous States during the second half of the 18 th. century and opened their own mints.

But the coinage often fails to illustrate the course of political events since most issues were still struck in the name of the Moghul Emperor whose titular authority was still recognised; indeed several state mints were only opened after official recognition had been sought from the Emperor.

The two mints considered here were situated close to one another, not far south of Delhi. Equally important, for present purposes, these two mints lay in the borderland between two powerful states, the Jats of Bhartpur to the west and the Nawabs of Awadh (Oudh) to the east. Suraj Mai (1756-1763) of Bhartpur had opened two mints in the last year of his reign and campaigned as far afield as Agra which he occupied in the same year - a conquest that was soon to be lost to the Marathas. The Nawabs of Awadh were a family of Persian descent first appointed to their charge over wide tracts of the Ganges valley in 1720. When the British occupied more and more of the lower Ganges valley the centre of gravity of the Nawab domain shifted westwards. New districts were incorporated on their western frontier and, for a while, the Nawabs held their seat of Government in the western town of Bareilly. On their coins the Jats of Bhartpur adopted the dagger as a State symbol while the Nawabs of Awadh signed their emissions with a fish. Meanwhile the later coins struck for the Moghul Emperor at Delhi (Shahjahanabad) were signed with a symbol of the State Umbrella.

In the coinage of these two adjacent cities, Muminabad-Bindaraban and Islamabad-Mathura one can see various combinations of these three State symbols; the Umbrella of the Moghul, the Dagger of the Bhartpur Jats and the Fish of the Awadh Nawabs. It is thus interesting to consider the flux of local political influences.

The coins are as follows:

1. Islamabad-Mathura, year 18 of Shah 'Alam (1759-1806)
   AR rupee with standard Moghul inscription, 11.1 gm
   Symbol: State Umbrella characteristic of late Moghul coins struck at Delhi.
   (until year 26 AE paissa from this mint were purely Moghul in character)

2. Islamabad-Mathura, year 43 of Shah 'Alam (1800)
   AR rupee with similar Moghul inscriptions, 10.8 gm
   Symbol: State Umbrella symbol of the Moghul combined with Dagger symbol of the Bhartpur Jats whose lands lay just west of Mathura

3. Muminabad-Bindaraban, year 37 of Shah 'Alam
   AR rupee with similar Moghul inscriptions, 10.7 gm
   Symbol: State Umbrella symbol and Dagger symbol

4. Muminabad-Bindaraban, 1211, year 36 of Shah 'Alam
   AE paissa with Moghul inscriptions, 7.6 gm
   Symbol: Fish emblem of the Nawabs of Awadh

5. Muminabad-Bindaraban, 1212 (for 1216), year 41 of Shah 'Alam
   AE paissa with Moghul inscriptions, 7.6 gm
   Symbol: Fish as before
Although the picture of political uncertainty is painted by this small group of coins the details need to be filled in from contemporary records. One may suspect, however, that the local dignitaries of the Mathura-Bindaraban region maintained allegiance to their northern neighbour and titular sovereign, the Moghul Emperor, while at the same time acknowledging varying degrees of subordination to their more powerful western and eastern neighbours, the Jats of Bharatpur and the Nawabs of Awadh. The coins shown here are in the author's collection but the issues, albeit sometimes of different date, have all been published previously.

Some Forgeries of the Sikkim Paisa by N.G. Rhodes

Since writing my account of the Coinage of Sikkim (ONS Inf. Sheet No. 8, Jan. 1974) a number of forgeries of these scarce coins have appeared from sources in India. The false coins are crudely struck and are very similar in fabric to the genuine pieces, but differ in several points of detail. I show below a typical forgery, together with a genuine coin:

![Forgery](image1.png) ![Genuine](image2.png)

The obverse differs from genuine coins in that there is no sun and moon at the top, there is an arabesque below in place of the date and the bottom line of the inscription reads “pi Maraj” instead of “ti Maharaj”. The reverse differs only in having a pellet outside each side of the square instead of an arabesque.

Apart from these details in the design the forgeries are struck from a slightly different metal. The genuine pieces are struck in a red copper, whereas the forgeries are stained black and the metal is slightly yellower in tone when it does show through. I have so far noticed at least two obverse dies and three reverse dies, differing only in very small details from the illustration above. Some pieces are struck from two reverse dies, a feature I have never seen on a genuine specimen.

Commemorative rupee of Jind State (India) by Jan Lingen

England being in Jubilee mood, it is a good opportunity to publish herewith a commemorative rupee of Jind, a Native State in Northern India. It was struck on the occasion of the Golden Jubilee of Raja-i-Rajagan Maharaj Sir Ranbir Singh Rajindra Bahadur as his full name was. He was born in 1879 and succeeded his grandfather Raghbir Singh on the 3rd day of March 1887, whilst still a minor and was invested with ruling powers in November 1899. His Golden Jubilee would have been on the 3rd of March 1937.

The dates on the coin are given in the Samvat era, thus 1943 and 1993. Samvat 1943, less 57 years, is 1886 but as the old Samvat year runs for about 2½ months into the new Christian year the accession date still falls in the old Samvat year. Samvat 1943 ended on the 24th March 1887. Samvat 1993 was AD 1936/37 and the date of the Golden Jubilee still fell in the Old Samvat year, 1993.

Weight: 11.65 grammes
Diameter: 30.5 mm
Metal: Silver

Obverse: Jalus 1943 Samat
Golden Jubilee Sarkar Jind
Samat 1993

Reverse: This is particularly interesting as it retains the archaic legend of Ahmad Shah Durrani (1747-72). In AH 1164 (AD 1751), during the 4th year of the reign of Ahmad Shah Durrani, the chiefs of Patiala, Nabha, Jind and Kotla-Maler received the right to coin and from that day until 185 years later the same coin legend has been retained. It reads:

Hokum shud az Qadir
Bichoon Beh Ahmad Bad Shah
Sikkah zad bar seem wozar
az owj mahi tabeh Mah

Commandment came from the incomparable Creator (God)
to the Emperor Ahmad Shah
Strike coins on silver and gold so that its fame
may spread from the fish to the moon